BOARD OF DIRECTORS
AMADOR FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
COUNTY ADMINISTRATION CENTER
810 Court Street
Board of Supervisors Chambers
Jackson, California 95642
*AMENDED*AGENDA

– 10:30a.m. –

Tuesday May 21, 2019

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

AGENDA: Off-agenda items must be approved by the Board pursuant to Section 54954.2 of the Government Code.

PUBLIC MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA: Discussion items only; no action will be taken. Any person may address the Board at this time upon any subject within the jurisdiction of the AFPD Board of Directors; however, any matter that requires action may be referred to staff and/or Committee for a report and recommendation for possible action at a subsequent Board meeting. Please note - there is a five (5) minute limit per topic.

PRESENTATIONS

(1) RECOGNITION OF CAPTAIN BRYAN JONES: Recognition of Captain Bryan Jones for his years of services to Amador Fire Protection District.

(2) RECOGNITION OF FIREFIGHTER INTERN CONNOR BAGAN: Recognition of Firefighter Connor Bagan for completing year one of his internship program and completion of his CA State Firefighter I Certification.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

(3) CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING FROM APRIL 16, 2019, REPEAL OF ORDINANCE 002 AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE 007 INCREASE TO FEE SCHEDULE: Discussion and possible action relative to subject matter.
(4) CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING FROM APRIL 16, 2019, ORDINANCE 008
ADOPTION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A FIRST RESPONDER FEE: Discussion
and possible action relative to subject matter.

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

(5) AGREEMENT WITH LEE WINTON: Discussion and possible action relative to subject
agreement for Lee Winton to perform a comprehensive assessment of the District’s volunteer
program.

(6) AUTOMATIC AID AGREEMENT: Discussion and possible action relative to approval of
an automatic aid agreement between Amador Fire Protection District and El Dorado Hills
Fire Department.

(7) VEHICLE MAINTENANCE REPORT: Report of expenses for maintenance and repair of
District vehicle fleet.

MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS

(8) MINUTES: Review and approval of the regular minutes of the Board of Directors meeting
of April 16, 2019, as presented or revised.

CLOSED SESSION may be called for labor negotiations (pursuant to Government Code §54957.6), personnel
matters (pursuant to Government Code §54957), real estate negotiations/acquisitions (pursuant to Government Code
§54956.8), and/or pending or potential litigation (pursuant to Government Code §54956.9).

(9) LIABILITY CLAIM PURSUANT TO §54961, CLAIMANT ROBERT BLAIR:
Discussion and possible action relative to claim submitted by Robert Blair for property
damage.

(10) LIABILITY CLAIM PURSUANT TO §54961, VAN DE HEI RANCH ROAD:
Discussion and possible action relative to potential liability claim due to damage on property
at 23770 Van De Hei Ranch Road, Pioneer CA 95666.

ADJOURNMENT
AGENDA TRANSMITTAL FORM

To: Amador Fire Protection Board of Directors

Date: 05/21/2019

From: Walter White

(Department Head - please type)

Phone Ext. x391

Department Head Signature

Agenda Title: RECOGNITION OF CAPTAIN BRYAN JONES FOR YEARS OF SERVICE

Summary: (Provide detailed summary of the purpose of this item; attach additional page if necessary)

Recognition of Captain Bryan Jones for his years of service with Amador Fire Protection District.

Recommendation/Requested Action:

Presentation Only

Fiscal Impacts (attach budget transfer form if appropriate)

n/a

Contract Attached: Yes No N/A

Resolution Attached: Yes X No N/A

Ordinance Attached: Yes No N/A

Is a 4/5ths vote required?

Yes No X

Committee Review? N/A

Name

Committee Recommendation:

Request Reviewed by:

Chairman ____________________________ Counsel ____________________________

Auditor ____________________________ GSA Director ____________________________

CAO ____________________________ Risk Management ____________________________

Distribution Instructions:

n/a

FOR CLERK USE ONLY

Meeting Date Time Item #

Board Action: Approved Yes No Unanimous Vote: Yes No

Ayes: Resolution Ordinance Other:

Noes Resolution Ordinance

Absent: Comments:

Distributed on ____________________________

Completed by ____________________________

I hereby certify this is a true and correct copy of action(s) taken and entered into the official records of the Amador Fire Protection District.

ATTEST: ____________________________

AFPD Board Clerk
To: Amador Fire Protection Board of Directors  
Date: 05/21/2019  
From: Walter White  
(Department Head - please Note)  
Phone Ext. x391  

Department Head Signature  

Agenda Title: RECOGNITION OF FIREFIGHTER INTERN CONNOR BAGAN

Summary: (Provide detailed summary of the purpose of this item; attach additional page if necessary)

Recognition of Firefighter Intern Connor Bagan for completing year 1 of his internship program and completion of his CA State Firefighter 1 certification.

Recommendation/Requested Action: Presentation Only

Fiscal Impacts (attach budget transfer form if appropriate)  
n/a

Staffing Impacts  
n/a

Is a 4/5ths vote required?  
Yes  
No  

Committee Review?  
N/A  

Committee Recommendation:  

Request Reviewed by:  
Chairman  
Counsel  
Auditor  
GSA Director  
CAO  
Risk Management  

Distribution Instructions:  
n/a

FOR CLERK USE ONLY

Meeting Date  
Time  
Item #

Board Action: Approved Yes No Unanimous Vote: Yes No

Ayes:  
Resolution  
Ordinance  
Other:  
Noes:  
Resolution  
Ordinance  

Absent:  
Comments:  

Distributed on  
Completed by  
A new ATF is required from  
Department  
For meeting  
of  

I hereby certify this is a true and correct copy of action(s) taken and entered into the official records of the Amador Fire Protection District.

ATTEST:  
AFPD Board Clerk
AGENDA TRANSMITTAL FORM

To: Amador Fire Protection Board of Directors

Date: 05/21/2019

From: Walter White

Phone Ext. x391

Department Head Signature

Agenda Title: Continued Public Hearing Repeal Ordinance 002 & Adoption of Revised Ordinance 007 Increased Fee Schedule

Summary: Discussion and possible action relative to repealing Ordinance 002 and adopting Revised Ordinance 007 Establishing a Fee Schedule for Plan Review, Inspections, and Providing Reports with the Amador Fire Protection District. Fee increase based on revised nexus study performed by Matrix Consulting Group.

Recommendation/Requested Action:
Approve Ordinance as Presented

Is a 4/5ths vote required? Yes ☐ No ☒

Contract Attached: Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒
Resolution Attached: Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☒
Ordinance Attached: Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A ☐

Resolution: Yes ☐ No ☐ Other: 
Ordinance: Yes ☐ No ☐ Other: 

A new ATF is required from [Department].
I hereby certify this is a true and correct copy of action(s) taken and entered into the official records of the Amador Fire Protection District.

AFPD Board Clerk

ATTEST: _________________________________
______________________________
AFPD Board Clerk
ORDINANCE NO. AFPD 007

AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A FEE SCHEDULE FOR PLAN REVIEW, INSPECTIONS AND PROVIDING REPORTS WITH THE
AMADOR FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

The Board of Directors of the Amador Fire Protection District ordains as follows:

WHEREAS, Amador Fire Protection District Ordinance No. 002, An Ordinance Establishing a Fee Schedule for Plan Review, Inspections, and Providing Reports with the Amador Fire Protection District, is hereby repealed in its entirety.

WHEREAS, budgetary consideration has required that a charge be made to defray the District’s cost of performing plan review and inspection functions related to new development, tenant improvement and alteration projects, fire code compliant inspections, and the cost of providing reports prepared by the District.

WHEREAS, California Health and Safety Code Section 13916, Section 13917, Section 13918, Section 13919 together with California Government Code Section 6103.7 authorizes the District to adopt a fee schedule to defray the cost of providing this service to the general public; and

WHEREAS, the review of construction plans and specifications by the District and their subsequent inspection to assure that fire safety equipment and design criteria have been installed and are continued in working order protects the health and safety of the general public and preserves life and property.

NOW THEREFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMADOR FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT DOES ORDAIN TO ESTABLISH A FEE SCHEDULE AS FOLLOWS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Review, Subdivision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor (4 lots or less)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each additional Lot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Review/ Inspection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Commercial Plan Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Commercial Inspection (Up to 5000sqft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Commercial Inspection (Over 5000sqft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant Improvement Including Plan Review &amp; Inspection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Plans Including Plan Review &amp; Inspection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground hydro test (Buried Water Mains)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High hazard applications, processes/storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatic commercial sprinkler system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatic residential sprinkler system Plan Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatic residential sprinkler system Inspection (Hydro &amp; Visual)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Automatic fire extinguishing system (non-sprinkler)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hood and Duct system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray Booths</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fire/flow-tamper alarm system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Function (Specialized System)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Fire Alarm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Device</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fire hydrant inspection/flow test $109.00/hr

**Commercial Water Storage System (Rural Areas)**
- Tank $274.00
- Fire Pump (Sprinkler Systems & Hydrants) $547.00

**State mandated inspections**
- Daycare, Public assembly, Board and Care $219.00 (except for facilities w/fee set by State)
- Above ground fuel storage tanks (New or Closure) $219.00

**Temporary structure, requiring fire clearance**
- Construction Office, Tents, Canopies, Membrane Structures $219.00

**Additional inspections**
- Medical Gas System $274.00
- Commercial Business Inspections $219.00
- Malfunction or non-compliance $109.00/hr
- Other inspections as required $109.00/hr
- Project consultation rate $109.00/hr
- Other $109.00/hr

- Fire Report $21.00

Penalty for failure to secure required permit or approval Twice the original fee

These fees may be increased in the future by District resolution consistent with applicable law, including but not limited to annual adjustments to the fee model provided as part of the comprehensive study provided by Matrix Consulting Group May of 2019.

The cost of the plan checking, inspections, testing or reports shall be paid for, in advance, by the responsible party to the Amador Fire Protection District, 810 Court Street, Jackson, CA. If the exact amount of cost is unknown, a projected cost will be determined and a deposit paid in that amount.

This ordinance shall become effective thirty (30) days from the date of passage.

The foregoing ordinance was duly passed and adopted by the Board of Directors of the Amador Fire Protection District at a regular meeting thereof, held on the 21st day of May, 2019, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

President, Board of Directors

ATTEST:
LINDSEY CLARK, Clerk of the
Amador Fire Protection District
Amador County, California
Report on the Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

AMADOR FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA

May 2019
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1. Introduction and Executive Summary

The report, which follows, presents the results of the Cost of Services (User Fee) Study conducted by the Matrix Consulting Group for Amador Fire Protection District.

1. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF WORK

The Amador Fire Protection District was created in 1990 and provides emergency fire, rescue, and medical aid services in the unincorporated area of Amador County. The primary fee related services for the District are for plan review and inspection of development-related projects. The District last updated their fee schedule in 2015; however, a comprehensive study has not been conducted within the last 20 years.

The Matrix Consulting Group worked with the District to analyze the cost of service relationships that exist between fees for service activities associated with plan review, inspections, providing fire reports, and treat no transport EMS services. The results of this Study provide a tool for understanding current service levels, the cost and demand for those services, and what fees for service can and should be charged.

2. GENERAL PROJECT APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

The methodology employed by the Matrix Consulting Group is a widely accepted "bottom up" approach to cost analysis, where time spent per unit of fee activity is determined for each position within a division. Once time spent for a fee activity is determined, all applicable District costs are then considered in the calculation of the "full" cost of providing each service. The following table provides an overview of types of costs applied in establishing the "full" cost of services provided by the District:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Component</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Fiscal Year 2018/19 Budgeted salaries, benefits and allowable expenditures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>Division and departmental administration / management and clerical support.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Together, the cost components in the table above comprise the calculation of the total "full" cost of providing any particular service, regardless of whether a fee for that service is charged.

The work accomplished by the Matrix Consulting Group in the analysis of the proposed fees for service involved the following steps:
Divisional Staff Interviews: The project team interviewed staff in the Fire Prevention Division regarding their needs for clarification to the structure of existing fee items, or for addition of new fee items.

Data Collection: Data was collected for each permit / service, including time estimates. In addition, all budgeted costs and staffing levels for Fiscal Year 18/19 were entered into the Matrix Consulting Group's analytical software model.

Cost Analysis: The full cost of providing each service included in the analysis was established. Cross-checks including allocation of not more than 100% of staff resources to both fee and non-fee related activities assured the validity of the data used in the Study.

Review and Approval of Results with Fire Staff: The Fire Chief has reviewed and approved these documented results.

A more detailed description of user fee methodology, as well as legal and policy considerations are provided in subsequent chapters of this report.

3 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Overall, this Cost of Services Study concluded that the District is primarily under-recovering for its fee-related services with a per unit or line item average cost recovery percentage of 66%. Annual impacts for prevention related fees were unable to be determined, due to changes in fee structure.

While the detailed documentation of the Study will show an over-collection for few fees (on a per unit basis), and an undercharge for most others, overall, the District is providing an annual subsidy to fee payers for all services included in the analysis.

The display of the cost recovery figures shown in this report are meant to provide a basis for policy development discussions among Board members and District staff, and do not represent a recommendation for where or how the Board should act. The setting of the "rate" or "price" for services, whether at 100 percent full cost recovery or lower, is a policy decision to be made only by the Board, often with input from District staff and the community.

4 CONSIDERATIONS FOR COST RECOVERY POLICY AND UPDATES

The Matrix Consulting Group recommends that the District use the information contained in this report to discuss, adopt, and implement a formal Cost Recovery Policy, and a mechanism for the annual update of fees for service.
1  **Adopt a Formal Cost Recovery Policy**

The Matrix Consulting Group strongly recommends that the Board adopt a formalized, individual cost recovery policy for each service area included in this Study. Whenever a cost recovery policy is established at less than 100% of the full cost of providing services, a known gap in funding is recognized and may then potentially be recovered through other revenue sources.

In recent years, more local jurisdictions have adopted formal cost recovery policies at a program or service level (e.g. fire sprinkler systems, public education, California Fire Code permits, etc.) The Matrix Consulting Group considers a formalized cost recovery policy for various fees for service an industry Best Management Practice.

2  **Adopt an Annual Fee Update / Increase Mechanism**

The purpose of a comprehensive update is to completely revisit the analytical structure, service level estimates and assumptions applied in the previous study, and to account for any major shifts in cost components or organizational structures. The Matrix Consulting Group believes it is a best management practice to perform a complete update of a Fee Assessment every 3 to 5 years.

In between comprehensive updates, the District could utilize published industry economic factors such as Consumer Price Index (CPI) or other regional factors to update the cost calculations established in the Study on an annual basis. Alternatively, the District could also consider the use of its own anticipated labor cost increases such as step increases, benefit enhancements, or cost of living raises. Utilizing an annual increase mechanism would ensure that the District receives appropriate fee and revenue increases that reflect growth in costs.
2. Legal Framework and Policy Considerations

A “user fee” is a charge for service provided by a governmental agency to a public citizen or group. In California, several constitutional laws such as Propositions 13, 4, and 218, State Government Codes 66014 and 66016, and more recently Prop 26 and the Attorney General’s Opinion 92-506 set the parameters under which the user fees typically administered by local government are established and administered. Specifically, California State Law, Government Code 66014(a), stipulates that user fees charged by local agencies “…may not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of providing the service for which the fee is charged”.

1. General Principles and Philosophies Regarding User Fees

Local governments are providers of many types of general services to their communities. While all services provided by local government are beneficial to constituents, some services can be classified as globally beneficial to all citizens, while others provide more of a direct benefit to a specific group or individual. The following table provides examples of services provided by local government within a continuum of the degree of community benefit received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“Global” Community Benefit</th>
<th>“Global” Benefit and an Individual or Group Benefit</th>
<th>Individual or Group Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Police</td>
<td>- Recreation / Community Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Park Maintenance</td>
<td>- Fire Suppression / Prevention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Building Permits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Planning and Zoning Approval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Site Plan Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- CUPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Facility Rentals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding for local government is obtained from a myriad of revenue sources such as taxes, fines, grants, special charges, user fees, etc. In recent years, alternative tax revenues, which typically offset subsidies for services provided to the community, have become increasingly limited. These limitations have caused increased attention on user fee activities as a revenue source that can offset costs otherwise subsidized (usually) by the general fund. In Table 5, services in the “global benefit” section tend to be funded primarily through voter approved tax revenues. In the middle of the table, one typically finds a mixture of taxes, user fee, and other funding sources. Finally, in the “individual / group benefit” section of the table, lie the services provided by local government that are typically funded almost entirely by user fee revenue.
The following are two central concepts regarding the establishment of user fees:

- **Fees should be assessed according to the degree of individual or private benefit gained from services.** For example, the processing and approval of a land use or building permit will generally result in monetary gain to the applicant, whereas Police services and Fire Suppression are examples of services that are essential to the safety of the community at large.

- **A profit-making objective should not be included in the assessment of user fees.** In fact, California laws require that the charges for service be in direct proportion to the costs associated with providing those services. Once a charge for service is assessed at a level higher than the actual cost of providing a service, the term “user fee” no longer applies. The charge then becomes a tax subject to voter approval.

Therefore, it is commonly accepted that user fees are established at a level that will recover up to, and not more than, the cost of providing a particular service.

### 2 GENERAL POLICY CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING USER FEES

Undoubtedly, there are programs, circumstances, and services that justify a subsidy from a tax based or alternative revenue source. However, it is essential that jurisdictions prioritize the use of revenue sources for the provision of services based on the continuum of benefit received.

Within the services that are typically funded by user fees, the Matrix Consulting Group recognizes several reasons why District staff or the Board may not advocate the full cost recovery of services. The following factors are key policy considerations in setting fees at less than 100 percent of cost recovery:

- **Limitations posed by an external agency.** The State or an outside agency will occasionally set a maximum, minimum, or limit the jurisdiction’s ability to charge a fee at all. Examples include State Licensed Residential Care facilities, as well as Public Records Requests for charging for time spent copying and retrieving public documents in the District’s Administrative office.

- **Encouragement of desired behaviors.** Keeping fees for certain services below full cost recovery may provide better compliance from the community. For example, if the cost of a permit for over the counter permits for special events are higher than the actual cost of purchasing fireworks, it might discourage residents from pulling permits.
Effect on demand for a particular service. Sometimes raising the “price” charged for services might reduce the number of participants in a program. This is largely the case in Fire Prevention programs such as CPR, CERT, Fall Prevention, or defensible space evaluations, where participants may compare the District’s fees to surrounding jurisdictions or other options for support activities.

Benefit received by user of the service and the community at large is mutual. Many services that directly benefit a group or individual equally benefit the community as a whole. Examples include Prevention programs, event booth inspections and Fire / EMS stand-by at certain types of special events.

The Matrix Consulting Group recognizes the need for policies that intentionally subsidize certain activities. The primary goals of a User Fee Study are to provide a fair and equitable basis for determining the costs of providing services, and assure that the District complies with State law.

Once the full cost of providing services is known, the next step is to determine the “rate” or “price” for services at a level which is up to, and not more than the full cost amount. The Board is responsible for this decision, which often becomes a question of balancing service levels and funding sources. The placement of a service or activity within the continuum of benefit received may require extensive discussion and at times fall into a “grey area”. However, with the resulting cost of services information from a User Fee Study, the Board can be assured that the adopted fee for service is reasonable, fair, and legal.
3. User Fee Study Methodology

The Matrix Consulting Group utilizes a cost allocation methodology commonly known and accepted as the “bottom-up” approach to establishing User Fees. The term means that several cost components are calculated for each fee or service. These components then build upon each other to comprise the total cost for providing the service. The components of a full cost calculation are typically as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Component</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Salaries, benefits and direct divisional expenditures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Overhead</td>
<td>Division or Departmental administration / management and clerical support.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The general steps utilized by the project team to determine allocations of cost components to a particular fee or service are:

- Calculate fully burdened hourly rates by position, including direct & indirect costs;
- Develop time estimates for each service included in the study;
- Distribute the appropriate amount of the other cost components to each fee or service based on the staff time allocation basis, or another reasonable basis.

The results of these allocations provide detailed documentation for the reasonable estimate of the actual cost of providing each service. The following sections highlight critical points about the use of time estimates and the validity of the analytical model.

1. **TIME ESTIMATES ARE A MEASURE OF SERVICE LEVELS REQUIRED TO PERFORM A PARTICULAR SERVICE**

One of the key study assumptions utilized in the “bottom up” approach is the use of time estimates for the provision of each fee related service. Utilization of time estimates is a reasonable and defensible approach, especially since experienced staff members who understand service levels and processes unique to the District developed these estimates.

The project team worked closely with District staff in developing time estimates with the following criteria:

- Estimates are representative of average times for providing services. Estimates for extremely difficult or abnormally simple projects are not factored into this analysis.
- Estimates reflect the time associated with the position or positions that typically perform a service.

- Estimates provided by staff are reviewed and approved by the division / department, and often involve multiple iterations before a Study is finalized.

- Estimates are reviewed by the project team for "reasonableness" against their experience with other agencies.

- Estimates were not based on time in motion studies, as they are not practical for the scope of services and time frame for this project.

The Matrix Consulting Group agrees that while the use of time estimates is not perfect, it is the best alternative available for setting a standard level of service for which to base a jurisdiction’s fees for service, and meets the requirements of California law.

The alternative to time estimating is actual time tracking, often referred to billing on a “time and materials” basis. Except in the case of anomalous or sometimes very large and complex projects, the Matrix Consulting Group believes this approach to not be cost effective or reasonable for the following reasons:

- Accuracy in time tracking is compromised by the additional administrative burden required to track, bill, and collect for services in this manner.

- Additional costs are associated with administrative staff’s billing, refunding, and monitoring deposit accounts.

- Customers often prefer to know the fees for services in advance of applying for permits or participating in programs.

- Applicants may request assignment of less expensive personnel to their project.

- Departments can better predict revenue streams and staff needs using standardized time estimates and anticipated permit volumes.

Situations arise where the size and complexity of a given project warrants time tracking and billing on a “time and materials” basis. The Matrix Consulting Group has recommended taking a deposit and charging Actual Costs for such fees as appropriate and itemized within the current fee schedule.
4. Fee Study Results

The motivation behind a cost of services (User Fee) analysis is for the Board and District staff to maintain services at a level that is both accepted and effective for the community, and also to maintain control over the policy and management of these services.

It should be noted that the results presented in this report are not a precise measurement. In general, a cost of service analysis takes a "snapshot in time", where a fiscal year of adopted budgeted cost information along the use with the use of time estimates is used to calculate the full cost information. Changes to the structure of fee names, along with the use of time estimates allow only for a reasonable projection of subsidies and revenue. Consequently, the Board and District staff should rely conservatively upon these estimates to gauge the impact of implementation going forward.

The Prevention section of the fee schedule covers plan reviews, inspections, and providing fire reports. The following subsections discuss the following items:

- Modifications or Issues: discussions regarding any revisions to the current fee schedule, including elimination or addition of fees.
- “Per Unit” Results: comparison of the full cost of providing each unit of service to the current fee for each unit of service (where applicable).
- Jurisdictional Comparison: a brief comparison of current permits and services with other local jurisdictions.

The full analytical results associated with each fee section evaluated were provided to District staff under separate cover from this summary report.

1 FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

In discussions with the Fire Prevention staff there were only minor modifications to fees to include multiple classifications. The following table shows the current fee schedule and the proposed fee schedule.

Table 4: Modifications to Fire Prevention Fee Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Fee Schedule</th>
<th>Proposed Fee Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLAN REVIEW, SUBDIVISION</td>
<td>PLAN REVIEW, SUBDIVISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor (4 lots or less)</td>
<td>4 lots or less (Minor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major (5 of more lots)</td>
<td>Each Additional Lot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLAN REVIEW / INSPECTION</td>
<td>PLAN REVIEW / INSPECTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Commercial</td>
<td>New Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First 5,000 sq. ft.</td>
<td>Plan Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inspection (Up to 5,000 sq. ft.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As Table 4 indicates the revised structure changes some wording for fees and expands certain sections for greater clarity and better reflection of services. There is also the addition of two new fees. The first new fee is the Medical Gas System fee to account for changes in the fire code that allows for inspection of businesses that require this type of fire code permit, which previously was being captured in the other inspections category.

The second new fee that is being added is the First Responder Fee, which is also known as a Treat No Transport or Dry Run Fee. The proposal is to add this fee on a per response basis and it is to help recover the time associated with responding to emergency calls.
even if there is no transportation response required. This is a fairly typical fee that is charged by most Fire Departments and Fire Protection Districts.

## 2 Detailed Per Unit Results

The following table details the fee title / name, and the total cost associated with each permit type.

### Table 5: Total Cost Per Unit Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Name</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Total Cost Per Unit</th>
<th>Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLAN REVIEW, SUBDIVISION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 lots or less (Minor)</td>
<td>$149</td>
<td>$219</td>
<td>($70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each Additional Lot</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>($8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLAN REVIEW / INSPECTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Commercial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Review</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>($35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection (Up to 5,000 sq.ft.)</td>
<td>$74.60</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>($35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection (Over 5,000 sq.ft.)</td>
<td>$149.20</td>
<td>$219</td>
<td>($70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant Improvement (Per Hour)</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>($35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Plans (Per Hour)</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>($35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground Hydro Test</td>
<td>$187</td>
<td>$274</td>
<td>($87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Hazard Applications, Processes / Storage (per hour)</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>($35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTOMATIC COMMERCIAL SPRINKLER SYSTEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Floor / Riser</td>
<td>$298</td>
<td>$547</td>
<td>($249)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Head</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>($1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTOMATIC RESIDENTIAL SPRINKLER SYSTEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Review – Per model</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>($109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$328</td>
<td>($328)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTOMATIC FIRE EXTINGUISHING SYSTEM (NON-SPRINKLER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hood and Duct System</td>
<td>$224</td>
<td>$328</td>
<td>($104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other System – per hour</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>($35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray Booths</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$438</td>
<td>($438)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRE ALARM / WATER SYSTEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Function</td>
<td>$224</td>
<td>$274</td>
<td>($50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Fire Alarm</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$492</td>
<td>($492)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Device</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$9</td>
<td>($4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Hydrant Inspection / Flow test (per hour)</td>
<td>$149</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>$40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIVATE WATER STORAGE SYSTEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank</td>
<td>$149</td>
<td>$274</td>
<td>($124)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Pump</td>
<td>$149</td>
<td>$547</td>
<td>($398)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Mandated Inspections – day care, public assembly, board and care</td>
<td>$149</td>
<td>$219</td>
<td>($70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above ground fuel storage tanks (New or Closure)</td>
<td>$149</td>
<td>$219</td>
<td>($70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary structure, requiring fire clearance (Construction Office, Tents, Canopies, Membrane Structures)</td>
<td>$149</td>
<td>$219</td>
<td>($70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Fee Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Name</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Total Cost Per Unit</th>
<th>Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional inspections due to malfunction or non-compliance (per hour)</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>($35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other inspections as required (per hour)</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>($35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project consultation rate (per hour)</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>($35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Report</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$21</td>
<td>($11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEW</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Gas System</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$274</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Business Inspection</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$219</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Responder Fee (Treat No Transport / Dry Run) – per response</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$229</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As table 5 shows, all fees are under recovering except for the Fire Hydrant Inspection/Flow test. The Fire Hydrant Inspection/Flow Test was changed from a flat fee to an hourly fee; therefore, it is not truly an over-recovery, but rather a restructuring of the fee. Converting to the per hour rate methodology allows for the District to charge for the amount of time it takes for the inspection. Therefore, all fees are currently under recovering.

### 3 COMPARATIVE SURVEY

As part of this study, the District wished to understand how their current fees and total cost compared to other Fire Protection Districts. The following subsections provided a comparative look at some of the key permits and fees for the District.

#### 1 New Commercial – Plan Review – 2,500 sq. ft.

The District is currently charging a flat fee of $75 per plan review for New Commercial Project regardless of the size of the project. The project team calculated the full cost to be $109. The project team compared the District’s current and full cost fee to other comparative jurisdictions.
As the graph indicates the District’s current and full cost is well below the average charged by other Fire Protection Districts ($286).

2 Fire Sprinkler System – New Commercial fee

The District is currently charging a fee based on per floor and riser with a base and then each additional head at approximately $298 with $1 per head. The project team calculated the full cost to be $547 and $2 per head. The project team compared the District’s current and full cost fee to other comparative jurisdictions.

As the graph indicates the District’s current and full cost is only slightly below the average charged by other agencies ($624).
3 Hood and Duct System

The District is currently charging a flat fee of $224 and the full cost was calculated at $328. The project team compared the District's current and full cost fee to other comparative jurisdictions.

As the graph indicates the District's current and full cost is below the average charged by other agencies ($490).

4 Temporary Membrane Structure

The District is currently charging a flat fee of $149 for the Temporary Membrane Structure Permit and the full cost was calculated at $219. The project team compared the District's current and full cost fee to other comparative jurisdictions.
As the graph indicates the District’s current fee is below the average; and the full cost is just below the average fee charged by other Fire Protection Districts ($224).

5 First Responder Fee (Treat No Transport / Dry Run) – Per Response

As part of this study, the District wanted to evaluate a First Responder Fee, which the project team calculated at approximately $229. The project team compared the District’s full cost fee to other comparative jurisdictions.
As the graph indicates, the District's full cost calculated at $229 is below the average First Responder Fee charged by the Northern California Fire Protection Districts ($311).

6 Overall Comparative Survey Findings

Overall, the District's current fees tend to be lower than the typical fees charged by other Fire Protection Districts. However, due to the nature of different fee structures for Fire Protection Districts, it is difficult to conduct an accurate comparison of the fee amounts for each permit category. Additionally, even in instances were fee names are the same, the level of service that is accounted for in one District may vary dramatically with another district, as some districts may account for multiple plan reviews and inspections in the base; whereas others may assess re-inspection or re-check fees. The different basis for the fee calculation and service level may directly be correlated to the type of development activity within the community.

The project team believes that for this reason comparative surveys should only be used a secondary tool for decision-making purposes. They can be informational and provide perspective on the fee amount, but it does not provide information on cost recovery levels or even when the last comprehensive fee update was conducted. For example, Sac City has not had a comprehensive update of its fees since 2010, and therefore some of its fees included in the comparative survey seem to be relatively low dollar value compared to other jurisdictions such as San Ramona who had an analysis conducted in 2015.
5. Conclusion

The Amador Fire Protection District engaged the Matrix Consulting Group to determine the total cost of services provided to its citizens and businesses for fee related services. To calculate the total cost of each service, the Matrix Consulting Group employed both a widely accepted and defensible methodology, as well as the experience and input of District staff to complete the necessary data collection and discussion to complete the analysis. District staff and the Board can now use this information to make informed decisions and set its fees to meet the fiscal and policy goal objectives of the District.

The project team recommends for fees that show an under-recovery, the District should review all circumstances and policy factors and raise fees where feasible. For fees that the District chooses to subsidize, policies should be established to outline target recovery percentages.
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For meeting of

ATTEST: AFPD Board Clerk
ORDINANCE NO. AFPD 008

AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A FIRST RESPONDER FEE FOR EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES WITH THE AMADOR FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors of the (“District”) has heretofore adopted the First Responder Fee Ordinance, which sets forth a First Responder Fee; and

WHEREAS, California Health and Safety Code Sections 13146.2(b), 13217(b), 13862, 13869, 13871, 13874, 13916, and 17951, together with Chapter 8, Division 1, Title 7 of the California Government Code, authorize the District to adopt a First Responder Fee and to charge and collect a fee to defray the cost of providing District services; and

WHEREAS, the District has determined that, in order to adequately provide fire-related and emergency medical services to the general public, the District desires to adopt a new fee which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by this reference; and

WHEREAS, the First Responder Fee set forth does not exceed the actual or estimated cost of the provision of the public services to which they relate; and

NOW THEREFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMADOR FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT DOES ORDAIN TO ESTABLISH A FIRST RESPONDER FEE FOR EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES AS FOLLOWS:

1.0 Authorization and Purpose:

This ordinance is adopted pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 13916 et seq., a portion of the Act. The purpose of the ordinance is to recover District costs of providing emergency medical services, including but not limited to first responder services for individual assessments that result in ambulance transport and individual assessments that do not result in ambulance transport. This ordinance shall be interpreted in a manner consistent with the Act and applicable law. The fees imposed by this ordinance are for the purpose of recovering the cost of certain District operating expenses, including but not limited to employee wage rate and benefits, medical supplies, and the contracting of services with specialized firms or individuals, which are reasonably related to the actual and on-going expenses incurred by the District for the services and expenses described in this Section.

2.0 Emergency Medical Services Fees:

The Board of Directors hereby approves and adopts the First Responder Fee as set forth in the Amended Fee Schedule in Exhibit 1, attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

3.0 Applicability:
This ordinance sets forth the fees and the amount to be charged by the District, as permitted by Health and Safety Code Section 13916. As authorized by Health and Safety Code Section 13918, public agencies that are not covered by a mutual aid agreement shall be charged the authorized fee, unless waived by the District pursuant to ordinance Section 3. By adopting this ordinance, the District is enacting user fees to defray costs associated with emergency medical services and described within as a First Responder Assessment.

4.0 Waiver of Fees (Compassionate Billing Clause):

As permitted by Health and Safety Code Section 13919, the District Board may waive charges/fees established by this ordinance and may delegate its authority to the Fire Chief or his/her designee to determine that charges/fees would not be in the public interest, as in cases where there are reciprocal services provided by other public agencies, employee welfare, or personal hardship. The District’s will not seek balance billing beyond insurance coverage for residents of Amador County.

5.0 Specified Fee:

Unless waived pursuant to ordinance Section 3, every public agency and/or party involved shall pay a specified fee pursuant to this ordinance. The fee for services shall be set by the District Board and shall not exceed the reasonable amount necessary to recover the costs of providing the specified service as allowed by law. The specified fee for service may be modified pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 13917.

6.0 No Waiver of Other Means of Cost Recovery:

This ordinance does not preclude the District from pursuing any additional means of cost recovery, including, but not limited to, actions pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 13009 (for negligent actions which cause the use of services or facilities of the District) and actions against parties whose willful, grossly negligent, or criminal conduct causes the use of District services or facilities.

7.0 Fee Modification:

These fees may be increased in the future by District resolution consistent with applicable law, including but not limited to annual adjustments to the fee model provided as part of the comprehensive study provided by Matrix Consulting Group May of 2019.

8.0 Severability:

If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause, phrase or word in this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or otherwise invalid, such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining provisions of this ordinance. The Board hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision paragraph, sentence, clause, phrase or word of this ordinance regardless of the unconstitutionality or invalidity of any other section, subsection, subdivision/paragraph, sentence, clause, phrase or word herein.
THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2019.

THE FOREGOING ORDINANCE WAS DULY PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AMADOR FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT AT A REGULAR MEETING THEREOF, HELD ON THE 21ST DAY OF MAY, 2019, BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

President, Board of Directors

ATTEST:
LINDSEY CLARK, Clerk of the
Amador Fire Protection District
Amador County, California
EXHIBIT 1

First Responder Fee – Per Response $229.00

As defined in the User Fee Study Prevention & First Responder Fee by Matrix Consulting Group May, 2019.
To: **Amador Fire Protection Board of Directors**  
Date: 05/21/2019  
From: Walter White  
(Department Head - please type)  
Phone Ext. x391  

**Department Head Signature**  

**Agenda Title:** Agreement with Lee Winton to perform Assessment of Volunteer Program  
**Summary:** (Provide detailed summary of the purpose of this item; attach additional page if necessary)  
Discussion and possible action relative to attached agreement with Lee Winton to provide a comprehensive assessment of the Districts Volunteer Program and provide recommendations for how to improve recruitment, retention, growth, and success of our Volunteer Program.  

**Recommendation/Requested Action:**  
Approve resolution authorizing President to sign subject agreement.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Impacts</th>
<th>Staffing Impacts</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is a 4/5ths vote required?  
Yes [x]  
No [ ]  

Committee Review?  
N/A [x]  
Name  
Committee Recommendation:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Attached</th>
<th>Resolution Attached</th>
<th>Ordinance Attached</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes [x]</td>
<td>No [ ]</td>
<td>N/A [ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:  

Request Reviewed by:  
Chairman  
Counsel  
Auditor  
GSA Director  
CAO  
Risk Management  

**Distribution Instructions:**  

**FOR CLERK USE ONLY**  
Meeting Date Time Item #  
Board Action: Approved Yes [x] No [ ]  
Unanimous Vote: Yes [x] No [ ]  
Ayes:  
Noes:  
Absent:  
Comments:  

Distributed on  
Completed by  
A new ATF is required from  
I hereby certify this is a true and correct copy of action(s) taken and entered into the official records of the Amador Fire Protection District.  

ATTEST: AFPD Board Clerk
AGREEMENT FOR CONSULTING SERVICES BETWEEN THE AMADOR FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT AND LEE WINTON

This Agreement is between the Amador Fire Protection District, (hereinafter referred to as the "District"), and Lee Winton, (hereinafter referred to as "Consultant").

The parties hereto agree as follows:

**Scope of Work**
District hereby contracts with Consultant to perform the following services: Conduct comprehensive assessment of the District’s volunteer program and provide recommendations for how to improve recruitment, retention, growth, and continued success of the volunteer program as requested by District.

**Payment for Services and Reimbursement**
The District agrees to pay Consultant for his services at a rate of fifty dollars ($50) per hour. Consultant shall provide an itemized invoice to the District within a reasonable time following the performance of services.

**Term of Agreement**
The term of this Agreement shall be from the effective date through final completion of the relevant assessment.

**Independent Contractor**
Consultant is an independent contractor, working under his own supervision and direction and is not a representative of District.

**Confidentiality and Ownership**
The District retains the exclusive right of ownership to the work products, inventions and confidential information produced for the District by the Consultant, and the Consultant shall not disclose any information, whether developed by the Consultant or given to the Consultant by the District.

**Termination**
This Agreement may be terminated by either the Consultant or District by written notice at any time. Authorized costs incurred by the Consultant will be reimbursed up to the date of termination.

**Indemnification**
Consultant agrees to accept all responsibility for loss or damage to any person or entity, and to defend, indemnify, hold harmless and release District, its officers, agents and employees, from any and all actions, claims, damages, disabilities or expenses that may be asserted by any person or entity, including Consultant, arising out of or in connection with the performance by Consultant hereunder, whether or not there is concurrent negligence on the part of the District, but excluding liability due to the sole active negligence or sole willful misconduct of the District.
This indemnification obligation is not limited in any way by any limitation on the amount or type of damages or compensation payable to or for Consultant or its agents under workers' compensation acts, disability benefit acts, or other employee benefit acts. Consultant shall be liable to District for any loss or damage to District property arising from or in connection with Consultant's performance hereunder. This indemnification shall survive the expiration or earlier termination of this Agreement.

**Consultant's Standard of Care**
District has relied upon the professional ability and training of Consultant as a material inducement to enter into this Agreement. Consultant hereby warrants that all of Consultant's work will be performed in accordance with generally accepted and applicable professional practices and standards as well as requirements of applicable federal, state and local laws, it being understood that acceptance of Consultant's work by District shall not operate as a waiver of release.

**Compliance with Laws**
Consultant shall comply with all applicable federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations.

**Signatures**
The individuals executing this Agreement represent and warrant that they have the right, power, and authority to enter into and to execute this Agreement on behalf of the respective legal entities of the Consultant and the District.

The parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed on _____________________________

(Date)

Amador Fire Protection District

(Signature)

Lee Winton, Consultant

(Signature)
AGENDA TRANSMITTAL FORM

To: Amador Fire Protection Board of Directors
Date: 05/21/2019
From: Walter White  (Department Head - please type)  Phone Ext. x391

Department Head Signature

Agenda Title: AUTO AID - El Dorado Hills Fire Department
Summary: (Provide detailed summary of the purpose of this item; attach additional page if necessary)

Discussion and possible action relative to approving agreement for Automatic Fire Services Aid with El Dorado Hills Fire Department.

Recommendation/Requested Action:
Approval of resolution and agreement, as presented.

Fiscal Impacts (attach budget transfer form if appropriate)
n/a

Staffing Impacts n/a
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I hereby certify this is a true and correct copy of action(s) taken and entered into the official records of the Amador Fire Protection District.

ATTEST: ____________________________
AFPD Board Clerk
BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
AMADOR FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
COUNTY OF AMADOR, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:

RESOLUTION APPROVING AGREEMENT FOR
AUTOMATIC FIRE SERVICE AID WITH THE
EL DORADO HILLS FIRE DEPARTMENT

RESOLUTION NO. AFPD 19-05

WHEREAS, the El Dorado Hills Fire Department maintains and operates a fire protection organization in the area generally known as El Dorado hills; and

WHEREAS, Amador Fire Protection District maintains and operates a fire protection organization in the area known as Amador Fire Protection District; and

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to effect the purpose of this agreement pursuant to the provisions of the Health and Safety Code 13050.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Amador Fire Protection District, County of Amador, State of California, that said Board does hereby approve the agreement with the El Dorado Hills Fire Department relative to automatic fire services aid on the terms and conditions contained therein; and

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the President be and hereby is authorized to sign and execute said agreement on behalf of the Amador Fire Protection District.

The foregoing resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Board of Directors of the Amador Fire Protection District at a regular meeting thereof, held on the 21st day of May, 2019 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

President, Board of Directors

ATTEST:

LINDSEY CLARK, Clerk of the
Amador Fire Protection District,
Amador County, California

(RESOLUTION NO. AFPD 19-05 )
(05/21/2019)
Automatic Aid Agreement
Between
El Dorado Hills Fire Department
And
Amador Fire Protection District

THIS AUTOMATIC AID AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is made this _____ day of ______________
2019, by and between the El Dorado Hills Fire Department and the Amador Fire Protection District.

WHEREAS, both parties hereto share mutual interests in the control of fire, fire prevention, fire
investigation, emergency medical services, hazardous materials control, water rescue, technical rescue,
and/or other emergency medical support, and both parties maintain certain equipment and employ
personnel trained to provide various levels of said tasks and services; and

WHEREAS, in the event of a major fire, disaster, or other emergency, either party may need the
assistance of the other party to this Agreement to provide supplemental control of fire, fire prevention,
fire investigation, emergency medical services, hazardous materials control, water rescue, technical
rescue, and/or other emergency medical support; and

WHEREAS, each party is recognized as having the necessary equipment and personnel available to
enable it to provide such services to the other party to this agreement in the event of a major fire,
disaster, or other emergency; and
3. **RESPONSE TO REQUEST.** Upon receipt of a request as provided for in Paragraph No. 2 of this Agreement, the Commanding Officer or Incident Commander, or the agent of the party receiving the request (also known as the Responding Party) shall immediately take the following actions:
   
a. Determine if the Responding Party has the equipment and personnel available to respond to the request of the Requesting Party.
   
b. Determine the type of equipment and personnel available.
   
c. Determine what available equipment and what available personnel should be dispatched in accordance with the plans and procedures established by the parties.
   
d. In the event the requested equipment and/or personnel are available, then the Responding Party shall dispatch such equipment and personnel to the scene of the emergency within the Area of Coverage shown in Exhibit A.
   
e. In the event the requested equipment and/or personnel are not available, then the Responding Party shall immediately advise the Requesting Party of such determination.

4. **COMMAND RESPONSIBILITY AT EMERGENCY SCENE.** Incident Command and operation organization shall follow the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and the Incident Command System (ICS) doctrine. If the Incident Commander specifically requests a senior officer of the Responding Party to assume command, the Incident Commander shall not, by relinquishing command, be relieved of responsibility for the operation.

5. **INCIDENT COMMUNICATION.** For command and control effectiveness, as well as incident safety, incident communication shall be face-to-face, as the preferred method, or when
to the agency owning said equipment. All equipment and personnel used under the terms of this Agreement shall be returned to the Responding Party upon being released by the Responding Party, or upon demand being made by the Responding Party for return of said equipment and personnel.

11. COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT. Each party agrees that it will not seek from the other party compensation for services rendered under this Agreement for the first twelve (12) hours of making the initial assistance request under Section 2 above. Should services be extended beyond twelve (12) hours, the Responding Party shall be compensated and reimbursed for equipment, materials, supply, and staff in accordance with the provisions contained within the most current version of the Agreement for Local Government Fire and Emergency Assistance to the State of California and Federal Fire Agencies, commonly referred to as the California Fire Assistance Agreement. Each party hereto shall at all times be responsible to its own employees for the payment of wages, including overtime, as well as insurance, retirement, other applicable benefits, other compensation, and for worker’s compensation insurance coverage upon said employees. The Responding Party shall be compensated by the Requesting Party at the replacement cost for the property and equipment that is damaged or lost due to use in the incident. All Claims for compensation and/or reimbursement must be presented to the Requesting Party within sixty (60) calendar days following the last day of assistance rendered by the Responding Party; however, nothing herein prohibits the Responding Party from waiving any claim for compensation and/or reimbursement.

12. INSURANCE. The Parties hereto are public entities. Each party agrees to maintain adequate health, liability, automobile, and worker’s compensation insurance coverage, or evidence of self-insurance consistent with the foregoing, for its own equipment and personnel.
19. SEVERABILITY. If any portion of this Agreement is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remainder of the provisions of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect in no way be affected, impaired, or invalidated.

20. VENUE. This Agreement and all matters relating to it shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and any action brought relating to this Agreement shall be held exclusively in a State court in the County of ________________.

21. ATTORNEY’S FEES. In any litigation, arbitration or any other proceeding where either party seeks to enforce any provision of this Agreement or seeks a declaration of the rights and obligations of the parties, the prevailing party shall be awarded reasonable attorney fees, together with any costs and expenses incurred to resolve the dispute and to enforce any provision of this Agreement.

22. ADMENDMENT. This Agreement shall not be amended, modified, or otherwise changed unless in writing and signed by both parties hereto.

23. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the successors and assigns of the respective parties hereto.

24. INTEGRATION. This Agreement constitutes the entire understanding and agreement of the parties and supersedes all previous and/or contemporaneous understanding or agreement between the parties with respect to all or any part of the subject matter hereof.

25. AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE. The person or persons executing this Agreement on behalf of the parties hereto warrants an represents that he/she/they has/have the authority to execute this Agreement on behalf of their entity and has/have the authority to bind their party to the performance of its obligations hereunder.
AGENDA TRANSMITTAL FORM

To: Amador Fire Protection Board of Directors
Date: 05/21/2019
From: Walter White Phone Ext. 391

Department Head Signature

Agenda Title: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE REPORT

Summary: (Provide detailed summary of the purpose of this item; attach additional page if necessary)
Report of expenses for maintenance and repair of District vehicle fleet.

Recommendation/Requested Action:
Review attached report

Fiscal Impacts (attach budget transfer form if appropriate)
Staffing Impacts
None

Is a 4/5ths vote required? Yes ☐ No ☒

Committee Review? Yes ☐ No ☒
Name

Committee Recommendation:

Request Reviewed by:
Chairman Counsel
Auditor GSA Director
CAO Risk Management

Distribution Instructions:

FOR CLERK USE ONLY

Meeting Date Time Item #

Board Action: Approved Yes ☐ No ☒ Unanimous Vote: Yes ☐ No ☒
Ayes: Resolution Ordinance Other:
Noes: Resolution Ordinance
Absent: Comments:

Distributed on
Completed by

A new ATF is required from
For meeting
of

I hereby certify this is a true and correct copy of action(s) taken and entered into the official records of the Amador Fire Protection District.

ATTEST: AFPD Board Clerk
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Description</th>
<th>VIN License Number</th>
<th>July 1, 2017/18 Beginning of Fiscal Year Mileage</th>
<th>Repair Hours / Comments (use of service, Misc. etc. if applicable)</th>
<th>April Dates</th>
<th>Maintenance Performed (description)</th>
<th>Total Cost starting July 2016 (Implementation of report)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-5100 - 2015 Ford Explorer AWD (Fire Chief)</td>
<td>1FMSK4AR7FEB25939</td>
<td>19,530</td>
<td>37,946</td>
<td>8/21/18</td>
<td>Glass Doctor - Replace Cracked/Broken windshield - deductible only ($500)</td>
<td>$1,834.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5108/09 - 2010 Ford Expedition XLT 4x4 (Prevention-0809)</td>
<td>1FMJU1G59EA43199</td>
<td>162,270</td>
<td>176,281</td>
<td>7/10/18</td>
<td>Riebes - light ($1.28)</td>
<td>$15,516.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5103 (02) - 2016 Ford F250 4x4 (Battalion Chief)</td>
<td>1FT7X2B64GEA66410</td>
<td>19,611</td>
<td>60,192</td>
<td>7/10/18</td>
<td>Riebes - wiper ($52.19)</td>
<td>$5,271.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5104 - Chief 2011 Ford F250 4x4 (Battalion Chief)</td>
<td>1FT7X2B62BAE30761</td>
<td>92,337</td>
<td>115,059</td>
<td>8/8/18</td>
<td>Sterling's Auto - spark plugs, spark plug wires, lube, oil and filter, belt tensioner, cooling system flush, air filter, fuel injection service ($2,357.29)</td>
<td>$7,675.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5105 - Chief 2012 Ford Expedition 4x4 (Battalion Chief)</td>
<td>1FMJU1G5XCEP67187</td>
<td>67,531</td>
<td>78,078</td>
<td>11/6/18</td>
<td>Jackson Tire - remove tires and remount studded tires ($34.00)</td>
<td>$5,047.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Description</td>
<td>VIN License Number</td>
<td>July 1, 2017/18 Beginning of Fiscal Year Mileage</td>
<td>Repair Hours / Comments (total of applicable services, if applicable)</td>
<td>Dates</td>
<td>Maintenance Performed (description)</td>
<td>April Total Cost this Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5106 - 2009 Ford F250 4x4 (Battalion Chief)</td>
<td>1FTSX21569EA15399 (E) 129499</td>
<td>65,530</td>
<td>Sterling's Auto - A, B and C Service, Rear Brake Pads, Rotate Tires ($1639.17)</td>
<td>8/14/18</td>
<td>Riebes - Lift support ($116.33)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-5111 2015 Rosenbauer 4x4 (111 First Out)</td>
<td>5AF2CB417FWM11449 (E) 1488122</td>
<td>19,474</td>
<td>Sterling Auto - AC inop ($726.96)</td>
<td>7/10/18</td>
<td>Burton's Fire - Switch and Low Coolant Sensor ($609.12)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-5113 1991 KME 4x4</td>
<td>1HTRPCR48G0415881 (E) 149099</td>
<td>80,306</td>
<td>Burton's Fire - Repair Pull (pulls when driving): front axle, tie rod ends, wheel bearings, rplc steer axle brakes and drums on both sides ($4,631.78)</td>
<td>4/8/19</td>
<td>Burton's Fire - Replace PS Front Step and Fenderette w/ Welting ($2392.44)</td>
<td>$7,421.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ-5115 2003 Ford F350 4x4 (previously SQ-145)</td>
<td>1FDFXV3727E60337 (E) 1151807</td>
<td>42,236</td>
<td>Jackson Tires - Repair Flat (2) ($64.98)</td>
<td>3/15/19</td>
<td>Truck N Tow - V-Bar Single Tire Chains ($333.13)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT-5116 2015 Kenworth</td>
<td>2NKHTJ659S4M43794 (E) 1410351</td>
<td>2,230</td>
<td>Doug Veerkamp's - B Service ($864.50)</td>
<td>9/18/18</td>
<td>US Bank - Pneumatic Air Line for Air Brake System ($15.90)</td>
<td>$15.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ-5125 2008 Ford F350 (Previously SQ-115)</td>
<td>1FDFXZ3R38E11309 (E) 1281212</td>
<td>99,175</td>
<td>Sterling’s Auto - A Svc, Remove and Replace Water Pump, Radiator Hose, Replace Original Shock ($4,297.82)</td>
<td>10/23/18</td>
<td>Riebes - Windshield Wipers ($19.37)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Description</td>
<td>VIN License Number</td>
<td>July 1, 2017/18 Beginning of Fiscal Year Mileage</td>
<td>Odometer Reading</td>
<td>Repair Hours / Comments (use of service, parts, etc. if applicable)</td>
<td>Dates</td>
<td>Maintenance Performed (description)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT-5126 2007 Kenworth</td>
<td>2NKMM1ZXXG07M199258</td>
<td>16,891</td>
<td>18,428</td>
<td>1/22/19</td>
<td>Jackson Tire - 6 new tires ($3344.53)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-5141 2018 Rosenbauer (114 First Out)</td>
<td>542CA441WM12044</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,433</td>
<td>11/7/19</td>
<td>Riebes - antifreeze ($25.31)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT-5146 1996 International</td>
<td>1HTSDADR7TD197632</td>
<td>38,174</td>
<td>43,115</td>
<td>9/11/19</td>
<td>Sterling's Auto - A &amp; B Service ($534.58)</td>
<td>$8,300.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-5148 2003 HME (114 First Out) (Previously E-5141)</td>
<td>44KFT420222Z29024</td>
<td>82,595</td>
<td>101,672</td>
<td>7/10/19</td>
<td>Riebes - antifreeze and lights ($75.90)</td>
<td>$47,837.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-5210 2000 Ford Explorer 4x4</td>
<td>1FMU16LXYL847751</td>
<td>107,494</td>
<td>110,071</td>
<td>9/11/18</td>
<td>Stein's Auto - A Service ($78.51)</td>
<td>$830.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-5211 1991 KME</td>
<td>1HTSDPCR9NH4416115</td>
<td>33,432</td>
<td>36,884</td>
<td>7/17/18</td>
<td>Doug Veerkamp - B svc ($585.84)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-5214 2008 Ford F550</td>
<td>1FDAX57R8EB35812</td>
<td>28,278</td>
<td>30,145</td>
<td>12/22/18</td>
<td>Sterling Auto - Repair &quot;lacking power&quot;, replace ctc tube boots, intercooler, radiator hose, fuel pump, etc. ($4524.60)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT-5216 2015 Kenworth</td>
<td>2NKHDCX7FM43793X</td>
<td>3,030</td>
<td>4,472</td>
<td>9/25/18</td>
<td>Doug Veerkamp - B Svc ($635.87)</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Description</td>
<td>VIN</td>
<td>License Number</td>
<td>July 1, 2017/18 Beginning of Fiscal Year Mileage</td>
<td>Odometer Reading</td>
<td>Repair Hours / Comments</td>
<td>Dates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-5221 2003 HME (122 First Out)</td>
<td>44KFT424428Z20825</td>
<td>E-1159078</td>
<td>97,576</td>
<td>116,671</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/10/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/10/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/24/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/14/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/4/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/4/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/11/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/30/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/6/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/7/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/7/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/8/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/22/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/8/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2/12/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/7/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/11/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/16/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/7/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/7/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/14/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/18/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/25/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/8/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/14/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/11/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/24/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/14/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/11/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/24/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/13/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/2/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/8/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Description</td>
<td>VIN License Number</td>
<td>July 1, 2017/18 Beginning of Fiscal Year Mileage</td>
<td>Repair Hours / Comments (use of service, Misc., ext. if applicable)</td>
<td>Dates</td>
<td>Maintenance Performed (description)</td>
<td>April Total Cost this Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-5361 2016 Rosenbauer (116 First Out)</td>
<td>54F2CASA2GWM15508</td>
<td>8,502</td>
<td>21,217</td>
<td>9/4/18</td>
<td>Burton's Fire - Step Light ($53.19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/2/18</td>
<td>Jackson Tire - 4 New Tires ($2,051.98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/31/18</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Sep ($-53.19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/6/18</td>
<td>Burton's Fire - 2 QB Gril, Stator 14' and Guard Stator ($1042.24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/30/18</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Oct ($2,051.98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/30/18</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Nov ($-1042.24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/8/19</td>
<td>Riebes - Misc. ($26.94)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/29/19</td>
<td>Burton's Fire - Valve Repair Kit ($497.10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/31/19</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Dec ($0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2/5/2019</td>
<td>Riebes - Radiator Cap, Wipers ($31.71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2/28/2019</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Jan ($-524.04)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/5/19</td>
<td>Sterling Auto - A Service ($738.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/31/19</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Feb ($-31.71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/30/19</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Mar ($-738.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-5364 2008 Ford 4x4</td>
<td>1FDAWS57R3EC53893</td>
<td>32,581</td>
<td>37,136</td>
<td>7/17/18</td>
<td>Autozone (reimb. Kwoka, brakes bad/strike team) brake pads ($72.72)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/17/18</td>
<td>Les Schwab - Tire (tire blown during strike team) ($300.45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/8/18</td>
<td>Sterling's Auto - Rep High fuel rail pressure, injection pump, valve cover gasket, extract broken exhaust bolts, rplc cac tube boots, remove intercooler and re-inst, new turbos ($12,068.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/30/18</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for July ($-373.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/11/18</td>
<td>Jackson Tire - 4 New Tires ($1,223.08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/30/18</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Aug ($-12,068.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/2/18</td>
<td>US Bank / LEHR - Code 3 Light ($404.82)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/31/18</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Sept ($-1,223.08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/30/18</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Oct ($-404.82)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/8/18</td>
<td>US Bank - Lock Assy, Adj. lever latch grip ($51.85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/30/18</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Nov ($-0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/8/19</td>
<td>US Bank - Folsom Ford - Block Heater Cord ($89.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/31/19</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Dec ($-51.85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2/28/19</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Jan ($-89.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/5/19</td>
<td>Riebes - Misc parts / aux pump ($49.49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/31/19</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Feb ($-0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/30/19</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Mar ($-49.49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Description</td>
<td>VIN License Number</td>
<td>July 1, 2017/18 Beginning of Fiscal Year Mileage</td>
<td>Odometer Reading</td>
<td>Repair Hours / Comments</td>
<td>Dates</td>
<td>Maintenance Performed (description)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-5368 2007 E-ONE</td>
<td>4ELNGAAA8373002458</td>
<td>62,266</td>
<td>81,144</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/10/18</td>
<td>Riebes - Antifreeze, Hose/Tube, Misc. Parts ($57.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/10/18</td>
<td>Forestry Suppliers - Seal Gasket ($11.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/30/18</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Antifreeze, Hose, Gasket, etc. ($-68.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/4/18</td>
<td>Burton's Fire - Cab Latch ($449.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/11/18</td>
<td>US Bank Card - Akron Field Service Kit/Repair leak from valve on passenger side ($184.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/11/18</td>
<td>Riebes - Misc. Parts ($8.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/10/18</td>
<td>Riebes - Oil Filler Cap ($8.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/16/18</td>
<td>Veerkamps - Check and Repair Engine Oil and Power Steering Leaks ($673.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/31/18</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for September ($-641.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/27/18</td>
<td>Burton's Fire - Handle 2.5 TS ($85.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/27/18</td>
<td>Burton's Fire - Piston Intake &amp; 4.5 NH plug w chain ($100.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/30/18</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for October ($-682.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/8/18</td>
<td>US Bank - Dip Stick / Misc. ($4.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/8/18</td>
<td>Riebes - Misc. Parts ($6.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/14/18</td>
<td>G&amp;O Parts - Valves, Stainless steel lever handles ($204.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/27/18</td>
<td>Burton's Fire - Gauge ($66.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/30/18</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for November ($-85.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/8/19</td>
<td>Riebes - Oil Filler Cap Standard ($8.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/31/19</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Dec. ($-282.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2/5/19</td>
<td>Doug Veerkamp - B Service, Rplc slack adjusters on rear axle, rplc driveline carrier bearing ($1,722.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2/26/19</td>
<td>Jackson Tire - 4 Good Year Tires ($2,080.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2/28/19</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Jan. ($-8.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/12/19</td>
<td>ATR Towing - (snow / Bear River) $300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/31/19</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Feb. ($-3,802.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/8/19</td>
<td>Plymouth Ace Hardware - Misc. Parts ($33.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/8/19</td>
<td>Riebes - Door Trim Parts ($6.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/30/19</td>
<td>Sterling's - Repair Transmission intermittently going into limp mode/stuck in 4th - Transmission Service, A Service ($1,470.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/30/19</td>
<td>JRCH - Reimbursement for Mar ($-300.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Board of Directors of the Amador Fire Protection District met in the County Administration Center, 810 Court Street, Jackson, California, on the above date pursuant to adjournment, and the following proceedings were had, to wit:

Present:
Brian Oneto, Chair
Pat Crew, Vice Chair
Frank Axe, Director
Jeff Brown, Director

Absent:
Richard Forster, Director

Staff:
Walter White, Fire Chief
Lindsey Clark, Clerk of the Board

AGENDA
MOTION: It was moved by Director Crew, seconded by Director Axe, and carried to approve the agenda as amended.
Absent: Director Forster

PUBLIC MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA: Frank Moreno spoke relative to concerns with Volunteer Policy Manual on the Consent Agenda. Mike Flores spoke relative to concerns with the direction Amador Fire is taking and how it might affect residents served. Eric Haydt President of Local 5181 spoke relative to Amador Fire’s volunteer staff and having consistent standards for all staff regardless of being paid or volunteer. Fire Chief Walter White addressed previous comments and stated his appreciation for Amador Fire's volunteer staff, and informed the Board that our volunteer numbers have not decreased since he was hired in September of 2018.

APPROVAL OF ITEMS ON THE CONSENT AGENDA

Adoption of Volunteer Policy Manual: Item was moved to the regular agenda.

FY 2019-2020 Benefit Assessment: 
MOTION: It was moved by Director Axe, seconded by Director Brown and carried to approve the FY2019/2020 Benefit Assessment with minor corrections.
Absent: Director Forster

PUBLIC HEARINGS
Repeal of Ordinance 002 and Adoption of Ordinance 007 Increase to Fee Schedule: Chair Oneto opened the hearing and continued it to May 21, 2019. Public comment was received by the following;

Ray Brusatori  
Rux Oneto  
Joanne McGloclin  
Frank Moreno

**Ordinance 008 Adoption and Implementation of a First Responder Fee:** Chair Oneto opened the hearing and continued it to May 21, 2019. Public comment was received by the following;

Brenda Walker  
Rux Oneto  
Courtney Ramos  
Frank Moreno  
Matthew Dolezal  
Mike Flores  
Richard Reed  
Rux Oneto  
Frank Moreno

**MOTION:** It was moved by Director Axe, seconded by Director Brown, and carried to approve continuing the public hearings for both Ordinance 007 and Ordinance 008 to the next regular Board Meeting on May 21, 2019 at 10:30am.

**Absent:** Director Forster

**ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS**

Adoption of Volunteer Policy Manual: Fire Chief Walter White reviewed the subject policy with the Board and stated that revisions are to be made in addition to removing mention of exhibits not included in the policy, removal of mandated shift requirements, and replacing shift requirements with training requirements throughout the document. Direction from the Board to have subject policy brought back to a future Board meeting after reviewing with District Volunteers and completing revisions. Public comment was received by the following;

Frank Moreno  
Antonio Moreno  
Debbie Mackey  
Matthew Dolezal

**Vehicle Maintenance Report:** Board reviewed the report, discussion ensued relative to subject matter.
MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS

Regular Minutes of March 19, 2019:

MOTION: It was moved by Director Axe, seconded by Director Crew and carried to approve the minutes of March 19, 2019 as presented.
Absent: Director Forster

CLOSED SESSION: At 12:54 p.m., the Board recessed into closed session. The following person was present during portions of this closed session: Members of the Board, Fire Chief, and the Board Clerk. This portion of the meeting was not recorded.

REGULAR SESSION: At 12:54 p.m., the Board reconvened into regular session. The following matters were reviewed during closed session:

Closed Minutes of March 19, 2019:

MOTION: It was moved by Director Axe, seconded by Director Brown, and carried to approve the closed session minutes of March 19, 2019 as presented.
Absent: Director Forster

ADJOURNMENT: At 12:56 p.m., Chair Oneto adjourned the meeting until May 21, 2019 at 10:30 a.m.

President, Amador Fire Protection District